

Chapter 2 part 3

\mathbb{Z}_n - the set of equivalence classes with respect to the congruence relation \equiv
 $n > 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ congruence classes

Operations \oplus, \odot on \mathbb{Z}_n induced from addition and multiplication on \mathbb{Z}

Th2.7 The operations \oplus, \odot have all expected properties.

Example $[a] \odot ([b] \oplus [c]) = [a] \odot [b] + [a] \odot [c]$

Notations Usually one skips brackets and circles

Example: they write $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ meaning $[a] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$

$3 \cdot 9 = 3$ in \mathbb{Z}_6 meaning $[3] \odot [9] = [3]$ in \mathbb{Z}_6

One cannot make cancellations

$$[27] = [3]$$

$9 \neq 1$ in \mathbb{Z}_6

$$27 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$$

Moreover, it is convenient to use powers:

for $k > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, not an element of \mathbb{Z}_n

$$a^k = [a]^k = \underbrace{a \cdot a \dots a}_{k \text{ times}} = \underbrace{[a] \odot [a] \odot \dots \odot [a]}_{k \text{ times}} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_n$$

A subtlety

For positive integers a, b , what is $ab \in \mathbb{Z}_n$?

1st interpretation

$$ab = [a] \odot [b] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$$

2nd interpretation

$$ab = \underbrace{[b] \oplus [b] \oplus \dots \oplus [b]}_{a \text{ times}} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$$

Fortunately, there is no ambiguity:

$$\underbrace{[b] \oplus \dots \oplus [b]}_{a \text{ times}} = \underbrace{[b + \dots + b]}_{a \text{ times}} = [ab] = [a] \odot [b]$$